arXiv:1606.01891v2 [math.RT] 5 Jul 2016

MODULE STRUCTURE ON $U(\mathfrak{h})$ FOR KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS

YAN-AN CAI, HAIJUN TAN AND KAIMING ZHAO

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an arbitrary Kac-Moody algebra with a Cartan sualgebra $\mathfrak{h}$. In this paper, we determine the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules that are free $U(\mathfrak{h})$-modules of rank 1.

Keywords: Kac-Moody algebras, non-weight module, irreducible module

2000 Math. Subj. Class.: 17B10, 17B20, 17B65, 17B66, 17B68

1. Introduction

Lie algebra theory has become more and more widely used in many areas of mathematics and physics. In order to study infinite-dimensional Lie algebras with a root space decomposition similar to finite dimensional simple Lie algebras, Kac and Moody independently introduced Lie algebras associated with generalized Cartan matrices in late 1960s, now called Kac-Moody algebras. For the last few decades, these algebras (particularly affine Kac-Moody algebras) have played important roles in many other mathematical areas such as combinatorics, number theory, integrable systems, operator theory, quantum stochastic process and in quantum field theory of physics.

To understand the representation theory of an algebra, it is important to classify all its simple modules. This turns out to be very difficult for non-abelian Lie algebras, see [B]. In fact, no complete classification of simple modules is known for any Kac-Moody algebras except for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Though the representation theory of Kac-Moody algebras is in general not well understood, some special classes of simple modules for certain families of Kac-Moody algebras are classified, in particular, finite dimensional simple modules, simple highest weight modules for generic Kac-Moody algebras, simple weight modules with finite dimensional weight spaces for finite dimensional simple Lie algebras (see [M]) and for affine Kac-Moody algebras (see [DG], [FT]), and simple Whittaker modules for $\tilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ (see [ALZ]). There are other simple modules constructed over affine Kac-Moody algebras, see [BBFK], [Chr], [FGM], [GZ], [LZ], [MZ], [R], [R1] and references therein.

Throughout this paper we denote by $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^*$ the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, positive integers, complex numbers and nonzero complex numbers, respectively. All algebras and vector spaces are over $\mathbb{C}$. Also, we denote by $U(\mathfrak{a})$ the enveloping algebra for any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ and denote by $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ the polynomial algebra in commuting variables $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the standard Cartan subalgebra of a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then a weight module over $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module on which $\mathfrak{h}$ acts diagonalizably. The

family of weight modules is one of the classical families of modules for Kac-Moody algebras. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules defined by the "opposite condition", namely, the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{g}$-mod consisting of modules which are free of rank 1 when restricted to $U(\mathfrak{h})$. In [N], Nilsson determined the category $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1})$. These modules are as follows.

Example 1. *The Cartan matrix of* $\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}(\mathbb{C})$ *is*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{l\times l}.$$

Denote by $E_{i,j}(1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant l+1)$ *the* $(l+1)\times(l+1)$ *unit matrix with* 1 *at the* (i,j)*-entry and zero everywhere else. For* $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l+1$*, let*

$$\bar{h}_k := E_{k,k} - \frac{1}{l+1}\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} E_{i,i}.$$

Then $\bar{h}_1 + \bar{h}_2 + \cdots \bar{h}_{l+1} = 0$*, and*

$$\{E_{i,j} | 1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant l+1\} \cup \{\bar{h}_1, \ldots \bar{h}_l\}$$

is a basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}(\mathbb{C})$*. For any* $S \subseteq \{1,\cdots,l+1\}, b \in \mathbb{C}, a_{l+1} = 1$*, and* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1,\cdots,a_l) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^l$*, the polynomial algebra* $\mathbb{C}[\bar{h}_1,\cdots,\bar{h}_l]$ *becomes an* $\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}$*-module with the following action for all* $g \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{h}_1,\cdots,\bar{h}_l]$ *and* $i,j \in \{1,2,\cdots,l+1\}, k \in \mathfrak{l} = \{1,2,\cdots,l\}$*:*

$$h_k \cdot g = h_k g,$$
$$E_{i,j}\cdot g = a_i a_j^{-1}(\delta_{i\in S} + \delta_{i\notin S}(h_i - b - 1))(\delta_{j\in S}(h_j - b) + \delta_{j\notin S})\bar{\sigma}_i\bar{\sigma}_j^{-1}(g),$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_i$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant l)$ *is the algebra automorphism of* $\mathbb{C}[\bar{h}_1,\cdots,\bar{h}_l]$ *defined by mapping* $\bar{h}_k$ *to* $\bar{h}_k - \delta_{k,i}$ *while* $\bar{\sigma}_{l+1}$ *is the identity map, and*

$$\delta_{s\in S} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } s \in S \\ 0 \text{ if } s \notin S \end{cases}, \quad \delta_{s\notin S} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } s \in S \\ 1 \text{ if } s \notin S \end{cases}.$$

We denote this module by $M(\mathbf{a}, b, S)$*. See Lemma 5 in* [CLNZ]*. Nilsson* [N] *proved that*

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}(\mathbb{C})) = \{M(\mathbf{a}, b, S) | a \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^l, b \in \mathbb{C}, S \subseteq \{1,\cdots,l+1\}\}.$$

Moreover, $M(\mathbf{a}, b, S)$ *is simple if and only if* $(l+1)b \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *or* $S \neq \varnothing$ *or* $S \neq \{1,\cdots,l+1\}$*.*

For the convenience of our later use, we now rewrite the actions in the above example. Let $\{e_i = E_{i,i+1}, f_i = E_{i+1,i}, h_i = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1} | i = 1,\cdots,l\}$ be the set of Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and set

$$(H_1,\cdots,H_l)^T = A^{-1}(h_1,\cdots,h_l)^T.$$

Then $H_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{h}_i$ for all $k = 1, \cdots, l$. Replacing $a_i a_{i+1}^{-1}$ by a_i, the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}(\mathbb{C})$ on $M(\mathbf{a}, b, S) \cong \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_l]$ becomes:

$$H_i \cdot g = H_i g;$$

$$e_i \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_i(H_{i+1} - H_i - b)\sigma_i(g), i, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i\sigma_i(g), i \in S, i+1 \notin S, \\ a_i(H_i - H_{i-1} - b - 1)(H_{i+1} - H_i - b)\sigma_i(g), i \notin S, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i(H_i - H_{i-1} - b - 1)\sigma_i(g), i, i+1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$f_i \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_i^{-1}(H_i - H_{i-1} - b)\sigma_i^{-1}(g), i, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i^{-1}(H_i - H_{i-1} - b)(H_{i+1} - H_i - b - 1)\sigma_i^{-1}(g), i \in S, i+1 \notin S, \\ a_i^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}(g), i \notin S, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i^{-1}(H_{i+1} - H_i - b - 1)\sigma_i^{-1}(g), i, i+1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

where $g \in \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_l]$, $i \in \mathfrak{l}$, $\sigma_i(g(H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_l)) = g(H_1 - \delta_{1,i}, H_2 - \delta_{2,i}, \cdots, H_l - \delta_{l,i})$ and $H_{l+1} = H_0 := 0$.

Recently, Nilsson proved that for a finite dimensional simple Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ is empty except for $\mathfrak{g}$ being of type A_l and C_l, see [N1]. Similar work for Witt algebras of all ranks was done in [TZ]. Such modules for Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and $W(2,2)$ were determined in [CG]. In [CZ], this kind of modules for basic Lie superalgebras were determined. In the present paper, we focus on determining the module category $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for all Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we collect some definitions, notations and establish some preliminary lemmas. The modules in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for affine Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ are determined at Theorem 3 in Section 3 while the modules in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of rank 2 are completely obtained at Theorem 11 in Section 4. In Section 5 using our different approach we recover Nilsson's result in [N1] as a by-product, i.e., give all modules in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for Kac-Moody algebras of finite type. Finally, in Section 6, we obtain all modules in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for general Kac-Moody algebras in Theorem 20.

2. Preliminaries

Let us first recall some notations from [Ka]. By a *generalized Cartan matrix* we mean a square integer matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathfrak{l}}$ where $\mathfrak{l} = \{1, 2, \cdots, l\}$ with the conditions

- $a_{ii} = 2, i \in \mathfrak{l}$;
- $a_{ij} \leqslant 0$ if $i \neq j$, and
- $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$.

In this paper, we consider the case that A is indecomposable, i.e. there is no partition $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_1 \cup \mathfrak{l}_2$ so that $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \in \mathfrak{l}_1$ and $j \in \mathfrak{l}_2$. From [Ka], we know that a generalized Cartan matrix A is one of the three types: *finite*, *affine*, or *indefinite type*.

The *Dynkin diagram* $\Gamma(A)$ of a generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{l \times l}$ is the graph with l vertices numbered with $1, 2, \cdots, l$. If $i \neq j$ and $a_{ij}a_{ji} \leqslant 4$ then we connect i and j with $\max(|a_{ij}|, |a_{ji}|)$ edges together with an arrow

towards i if $|a_{ij}| > 1$. If $a_{ij}a_{ji} > 4$ we draw a bold face line segment between i and j labeled with the ordered pair $(|a_{ij}|, |a_{ji}|)$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the natural nondegenerate bilinear form pairing between a vector space $\mathfrak{h}$ and its dual. Given:

- a generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathfrak{l}}$,
- a finite dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{h}$ (Cartan subalgebra) with $\dim \mathfrak{h} = 2l - \mathrm{rank}A$, and
- a choice of *simple roots* $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ and *simple coroots* $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \cdots, \alpha_l^\vee\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ such that Π and $\Pi^\vee$ are linearly independent with $\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = \alpha_j(\alpha_i^\vee) = a_{ij}, i, j \in \mathfrak{l}$,

we may associated to A a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)/\mathfrak{t}$ over a field K, called the *Kac-Moody algebra*, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ is the auxiliary Lie algebra generated by $\mathfrak{h}$ and elements $\{e_i, f_i | i \in \mathfrak{l}\}$ subject to relations:

R2.1
$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0, \\ &[h, e_i] = \alpha_i(h)e_i, h \in \mathfrak{h}, \\ &[h, f_i] = -\alpha_i(h)f_i, h \in \mathfrak{h}, \\ &[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}\alpha_i^\vee, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and $\mathfrak{t}$ is the unique maximal ideal in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ that intersects $\mathfrak{h}$ trivially (see Theorem 1.2 in [Ka]). Gabber and Kac proved that for a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix A, in particular for A of finite or affine type, $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is the Lie algebra generated by $\mathfrak{h}$ and elements $\{e_i, f_i | i \in \mathfrak{l}\}$ subject to relations (2.1) and ([Ka], Theorem 9.11):

R2.2
$$(\mathrm{ad}e_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j) = (\mathrm{ad}f_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(f_j) = 0, \forall i \neq j. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. The goal of this paper is to study the full subcategory $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$-Mod consisting of objects whose restriction to $U(\mathfrak{h})$ are free of rank 1, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{M \in \mathfrak{g}\text{-Mod} | \mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{h}} M \cong_{\mathfrak{h}} U(\mathfrak{h})\}.$$

Take a basis for $\mathfrak{h}$ to be $\{H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_{2l-\mathrm{rank}A}\}$ which will be specified later. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is a commutative Lie algebra, we can identify $U(\mathfrak{h})$ with $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_{2l-\mathrm{rank}A}]$. For each $i \in J = \{1, 2, \cdots, 2l - \mathrm{rank}A\}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_{i-1}, H_{i+1}, \cdots, H_{2l-\mathrm{rank}A}].$$

Clearly, $U(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathcal{P}_i[H_i], i \in J$. For $g \in U(\mathfrak{h})$, denote by $\deg_i(g)$ the *degree of* g as a polynomial in indeterminant H_i over $\mathcal{P}_i$, and we set $\deg_i(0) = -1$.

Define the automorphisms of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i : U(\mathfrak{h}) &\longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{h}), \\ H_j &\mapsto H_j - \delta_{i,j}, \forall j \in J. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that σ_i^{-1} is defined by mapping H_j to $H_j + \delta_{i,j}$, and $\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i$ for all $i, j \in J$. It is easy to see the following fact.

Lemma 2. *For $g \in \mathcal{P}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\deg_i(\sigma_i^k - \mathrm{Id})(g) = \deg_i g - 1, \ \textit{and}$$

$$\deg_i(\sigma_i - \mathrm{Id})^m(g) = \begin{cases} \deg_i g - m, & \text{if } m \leqslant \deg_i g, \\ -1, & \text{if } m > \deg_i g. \end{cases}$$

For a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the following auxiliary algebra will be useful in our later discussions. Let Z be an abelian Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = Z \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra under the following Lie bracket:

$$[z, \mathfrak{g}] = 0, \forall z \in Z. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = Z \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an abelian Lie algebra and $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) \cong U(Z) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{h})$. We can naturally extend the actions of $\sigma_i, i \in \mathfrak{l}$ on $U(\mathfrak{h})$ to $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$.

Assume that there is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module structure on $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ which is a free $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$-module of rank 1. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ is naturally a $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Denote by $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ the full subcategory of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$-modules consisting of objects whose restriction to $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ are free of rank 1.

3. Modules for affine Kac-Moody algebras

In this section we consider $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for affine Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$. Indeed, we will prove the following theorem.

AFF **Theorem 3.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an affine Kac-Moody algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing.$$

In [Ka], the author classified all generalized Cartan matrices of affine type:

(Aff1) $A_1^{(1)}, A_l^{(1)}(l \geqslant 2), B_l^{(1)}(l \geqslant 3), C_l^{(1)}(l \geqslant 2), D_l^{(1)}(l \geqslant 4), E_l^{(1)}(l = 6, 7, 8)$;

(Aff2) $A_2^{(2)}, A_{2l}^{(2)}(l \geqslant 2), A_{2l-1}^{(2)}(l \geqslant 3), D_{l+1}^{(2)}(l \geqslant 2), E_6^{(2)}$;

(Aff3) $D_4^{(3)}$.

From the realization of affine Kac-Moody algebras in [Ka], we know that any Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of affine type 2 or 3 contains an affine type 1 subalgebra which has the same Cartan subalgebra with $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus we only need to prove Theorem 3 for affine type 1 Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra of affine type 1. Then

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d,$$

with $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ a finite-dimensional Kac-Moody algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &[x \otimes t^{k_1} + \lambda_1 K + \mu_1 d, y \otimes t^{k_2} + \lambda_2 K + \mu_2 d] \\ =&[x, y] \otimes t^{k_1+k_2} + \mu_1 k_2 y \otimes t^{k_2} - \mu_2 k_1 x \otimes t^{k_1} + k_1 \delta_{k_1,-k_2}(x, y) K, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{C}, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an invariant bilinear form on $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Taking a basis for $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ (the Cartan subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$) to be $h_1, \cdots, h_l$ (Chevally generators), then

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}h_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{C}h_l + \mathbb{C}K + \mathbb{C}d,$$

and we have

Lemma 4. *Let $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$, and $(h_i \otimes t^k) \cdot 1 = R_{ik} \in M$. Then*

$$(h_i \otimes t^k) \cdot g = \tau^k(g) R_{ik}, \forall g \in M,$$

where τ is the automorphism on $\mathbb{C}[h_1, \cdots, h_l, K, d]$ defined by mapping d to $d-1$ with all other variables unchanged.

Proof. This follows from

$$[h_i \otimes t^k, h_j] = [h_i \otimes t^k, K] = 0, \forall i, j, k,$$

and

$$[d, h_i \otimes t^k] = k h_i \otimes t^k, \forall i, k. \qquad \square$$

Now we can prove the following lemma,

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an affine type 1 Kac-Moody algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing.$$

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$ and let $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. On $M \cong \mathbb{C}[h_1, \cdots, h_l, K, d]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2kK &= 2(kK) \cdot 1 \\ &= [h_1 \otimes t^k, h_1 \otimes t^{-k}] \cdot 1 \\ &= R_{1k}\tau^k(R_{1,-k}) - R_{1,-k}\tau^{-k}(R_{1k}) \\ &= \tau^k(R_{1,-k}\tau^{-k}(R_{1k})) - R_{1,-k}\tau^{-k}(R_{1k}) \\ &= (\tau^k - 1)(R_{1,-k}\tau^{-k}(R_{1k})), \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, l$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2, we have

$$R_{1,-k}\tau^{-k}(R_{1k}) = -Kd + R_k,$$

with $\deg_d R_k = 0$. Therefore, either $\deg_d R_{1k}$ or $\deg_d R_{1,-k}$ is 1.

Take $k \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k + j \neq 0$ and $\deg_d R_{1k} \deg_d R_{1j} = 1$, say

$$R_{1k} = a_k d + b_k, R_{1j} = a_j d + b_j,$$

where $\deg_d a_k = \deg_d b_k = \deg_d a_j = \deg_d b_j = 0$ and $a_k a_j \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [h_1 \otimes t^k, h_1 \otimes t^j] \cdot 1 \\ &= R_{1k}\tau^k(R_{1j}) - R_{1j}\tau^j(R_{1k}) \\ &= a_k a_j (j-k) d + j b_j a_k - k b_k a_j \quad \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Thus, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$. $\square$

From the above lemma we know that Theorem 3 holds.

4. Modules for Kac-Moody algebras of rank 2

In this section, we will study the category $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to generalized Cartan matrix $A(r,s) = (a_{ij})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant 2} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & -r \\ -s & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Indeed, we will show that

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra associated to generalized Cartan matrix $A(r,s)$. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $rs \leqslant 2$.*

Since A is always symmetrizable in this case, we may use relations (2.2) in our proofs. When A is not invertible, then $rs = 4$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is an affine Kac-Moody algebra, whose modules have been studied in Section 3. We may assume in our discussion that $rs \neq 4$. For $r = s = 1$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type A_2, the result for this algebra is a special case in Example 1. So, we may further assume that $rs \neq 1$.

Since $rs \neq 4$, A is invertible. We may take a basis for $\mathfrak{h}$ to be

$$(H_1, H_2)^T = A^{-1}(\alpha_1^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee)^T.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[H_i, e_j] = \delta_{ij} e_j, [H_i, f_j] = -\delta_{ij} f_j,\ i, j = 1, 2;\\
&[e_1, f_1] = 2H_1 - rH_2,\\
&[e_2, f_2] = -sH_1 + 2H_2,\\
&[e_i, f_j] = 0, i \neq j,\\
&(\mathrm{ad}e_1)^{1+r}(e_2) = (\mathrm{ad}f_1)^{1+r}(f_2) = 0,\\
&(\mathrm{ad}e_2)^{1+s}(e_1) = (\mathrm{ad}f_2)^{1+s}(f_1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From the first two relations, we have

Lemma 7. *Let $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. For any $g \in \mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2] \cong M$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
H_i \cdot g &= H_i g,\\
e_i \cdot g &= E_i \sigma_i(g),\\
f_i \cdot g &= F_i \sigma_i^{-1}(g),
\end{aligned}$$

where $E_i = e_i \cdot 1, F_i = f_i \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2] \setminus \{0\}$.

Thus, to understand $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$, we only need to determine all possible 4-tuples (E_1, E_2, F_1, F_2).

Next, we will establish some useful lemmas for our later discussions.

A_1 **Lemma 8.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and Z be an abelian Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. For any $a \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in U(Z), S \subseteq \{1, 2\}$, the vector space $M(a, b, S) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ becomes a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with the following action for all $\tilde{g} \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$:*

$$H \cdot \tilde{g} = H\tilde{g}, z \cdot \tilde{g} = z\tilde{g}, \forall z \in Z;$$

$$e \cdot \tilde{g} = \begin{cases} a(H + b)\sigma_i(\tilde{g}), & 1, 2 \notin S \text{ or } 1, 2 \in S,\\ a\sigma_i(\tilde{g}), & 1 \in S, 2 \notin S,\\ a(-H^2 + H + b)\sigma_i(\tilde{g}), & 1 \notin S, 2 \in S; \end{cases}$$

$$f \cdot \tilde{g} = \begin{cases} a^{-1}(-H + b)\sigma_i^{-1}(\tilde{g}), & 1, 2 \notin S \text{ or } 1, 2 \in S,\\ -a^{-1}(H^2 + H + b)\sigma_i^{-1}(\tilde{g}), & 1 \in S, 2 \notin S,\\ a^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}(\tilde{g}), & 1 \notin S, 2 \in S. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore

$$\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \{M(a, b, S) | a \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in U(Z), S \subseteq \{1, 2\}\}.$$

Proof. Using the formulas after Example 1 for $n = 1$ and re-choosing a_i, b, we see that the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ defined above gives a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module structure on $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$, that is, $M(a, b, S) \in \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in U(Z), S \subseteq \{1, 2\}$.

So we only need to show that each module in $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ has the above defined structure.

Let $M = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ be a module in $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. By $[\mathfrak{g}, Z] = 0$ and by the choice of the H we see that the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module structure of M is determined by the actions of the Chevalley generators e, f of $\mathfrak{g}$ on 1.

Define a new Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} := U(Z) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \subset U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ over the PID $U(Z)$. Then $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$becomes a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module over $U(Z)$ where the action of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ inherits the action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$.

Now let us extend the fundamental field. Let $\mathbb{C}(Z)$ be the fraction field of $U(Z)$ and let $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}$ be the algebraically closed extension field of $\mathbb{C}(Z)$. Let

$$G = \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{U(Z)} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g},$$

then $G \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)})$ with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{H} \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}$. If we identify $1 \otimes \mathfrak{g} \subset G$ with $\mathfrak{g}$, then (e, f, h) are the Chevalley generators for G.

Noting that $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) \cong U(Z) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{h})$, we have the vector space isomorphism over $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}$ as follows:

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{U(Z)} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{h}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}[\mathfrak{H}] = U(\mathfrak{H}),$$

where the last term is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{H}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}$. So we can identify $U(\mathfrak{H})$ with $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{U(Z)} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$. Clearly, it is natural to extend the module action of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ to the module action of G on $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{U(Z)} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ by

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes x \circ \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 g = \varphi_1\psi_1 \otimes (\varphi_2 \otimes x \cdot \psi_2 g),$$

where $\varphi_1, \psi_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}$, $\varphi_2, \psi_2 \in U(Z)$,$x \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in U(\mathfrak{h})$.

Since the action of $\mathfrak{H}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{U(Z)} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ is just multiplication, we see that the obtained G-module $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{U(Z)} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(G)$, where all the modules are over $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}$. Clearly, the method used to prove Theorems 11 and 30 in [N] is valid for the G-module $\overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)} \otimes_{U(Z)} U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$, then the result in Example 1 holds for some $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}^*, b \in \overline{\mathbb{C}(Z)}, S \subseteq \{1, 2\}$. Moreover, since the action of $\mathfrak{g} \subset G$ on $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ coincides with the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}) = M$, we must have $e \circ 1, f \circ 1 \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$, which forces that $a, b \in U(Z)$. Again by Example 1 we see that $a^{-1} \in U(Z)$. So $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, the lemma holds. □

Now consider M as a module over the Lie algebras

$$\mathbb{C}e_1 + \mathbb{C}f_1 + \mathbb{C}(2H_1 - rH_2) + \mathbb{C}H_2,$$
$$\mathbb{C}e_2 + \mathbb{C}f_2 + \mathbb{C}(sH_1 - 2H_2) + \mathbb{C}H_1,$$

separately. Using Lemma 8, we know that the only possible pairs (E_1, F_1) and (E_2, F_2) are

$$(E_1, F_1) = \begin{cases} \left(a_1(H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b),\ \ a_1^{-1}(-H_1 + \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b)\right), \\ \left(a_1,\ \ -a_1^{-1}((H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2)^2 + H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b)\right), \\ \left(a_1(-(H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2)^2 + H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b),\ \ a_1^{-1}\right); \end{cases}$$

$$(E_2, F_2) = \begin{cases} \left(a_2(H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c),\ \ a_2^{-1}(-H_2 + \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c)\right), \\ \left(a_2,\ \ -a_2^{-1}((H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1)^2 + H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c)\right), \\ \left(a_2(-(H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1)^2 + H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c),\ \ a_2^{-1}\right); \end{cases}$$

where $b \in \mathbb{C}[H_2]$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}[H_1]$.

Before proving our main result in this section, we need to first establish some auxiliaries.

Lemma 9. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac-Moody algebra associated to the generalized Cartan matrix $A(r,s)$ with $rs \neq 1, 4$.*

(a). *If $s > 1$, then $\deg_1 E_1 \deg_1 F_1 = 0$;*
(b). *If $r > 1$, then $\deg_2 E_2 \deg_2 F_2 = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to show (a). To the contrary, we assume that

$$E_1 = a_1(H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b), \quad F_1 = a_1^{-1}(-H_1 + \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b)$$

with $b \in \mathbb{C}[H_2]$. If $E_2 = a_2$ and $F_2 = -a_2^{-1}((H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1)^2 + H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}[H_1]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [e_2, f_1] \cdot 1 \\ &= E_2\sigma_2(F_1) - F_1\sigma_1^{-1}(E_2) \\ &= a_2(\sigma_2(F_1) - F_1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\deg_2 F_1 = 0$. Therefore $b = \frac{-r}{2}H_2 + b_1$ with $b_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus,

$$E_1 = a_1(H_1 - rH_2 + b_1), F_1 = a_1^{-1}(-H_1 + b_1).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [e_1, f_2] \cdot 1 \\ &= E_1\sigma_1(F_2) - F_2\sigma_2^{-1}(E_1) \\ &= -a_1a_2^{-1}r(s-1)H_2^2 + d_1H_2 + d_0, \end{aligned}$$

where d_1, d_0 are in $\mathbb{C}[H_1]$. So, this is a contradiction because $s > 1$. Hence, $(\deg_2 E_2, \deg_2 F_2) \neq (0, 2)$. Similarly, $(\deg_2 E_2, \deg_2 F_2) \neq (2, 0)$.

Now let $E_2 = a_2(H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c), F_2 = -a_2^{-1}(H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 - c)$ with $c = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \lambda_k H_1^k \in \mathbb{C}[H_1]$. If $m > 1$ and $\lambda_m \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [e_1, f_2] \cdot 1 \\ &= E_1\sigma_1(F_2) - F_2\sigma_2^{-1}(E_1) \\ &= a_1a_2^{-1}\lambda_m(-m + \frac{r}{2} + b - \sigma_2^{-1}(b))H_1^m + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \lambda_k' H_1^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_k'(k < m)$ are in $\mathbb{C}[H_2]$. Hence,

$$b = -(m - \frac{r}{2})H_2 + b_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus,

$$0 = [e_1, f_2] \cdot 1 \quad = a_1a_2^{-1}m(1 - \frac{s}{2} + \sigma_1(c) - c)H_2 + \lambda_0'',$$

where $\lambda_0'' \in \mathbb{C}[H_1]$. Therefore, we have

$$\sigma_1(c) - c = -(1 - \frac{s}{2}).$$

Hence, $m = \deg_1 c \leqslant 1$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $\deg_1 c \leqslant 1$. By symmetry we also have $\deg_2 b \leqslant 1$.

Let $b = b_1H_2 + b_2, c = c_1H_1 + c_2$ with $b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We see that

$$E_1 = a_1(H_1 + (b_1 - \frac{r}{2})H_2 + b_2), \quad F_1 = a_1^{-1}(-H_1 + (b_1 + \frac{r}{2})H_2 + b_2),$$
$$E_2 = a_2(H_2 + (c_1 - \frac{s}{2})H_1 + c_2), \quad F_2 = -a_2^{-1}(H_2 - (c_1 + \frac{s}{2})H_1 - c_2).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& [e_1, f_2] \cdot 1 \\ =& a_2^{-1}a_1 \Big[(\frac{-s}{2} - c_1)(b_1 + 1 - \frac{r}{2})H_1 + (b_1 - \frac{r}{2})(1 - \frac{s}{2} - c_1)H_2 \\ & -b_2(c_1 + \frac{s}{2}) - c_2(b_1 - \frac{r}{2}) \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$b_1 = \frac{r}{2}, c_1 = \frac{-s}{2},$$

or

$$b_1 = \frac{r}{2} - 1, c_1 = 1 - \frac{s}{2}, b_2 = c_2.$$

If $b_1 = \frac{r}{2}, c_1 = -\frac{s}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [e_2, f_1] \cdot 1 \\ &= -s(1 - r)H_1 - r(1 - s)H_2 + c_2 - r - b_2 - s \\ &\neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

If $b_1 = \frac{r}{2} - 1, c_1 = 1 - \frac{s}{2}, b_2 = c_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [e_2, f_1] \cdot 1 \\ &= (2 - s)(1 - r)H_2 + (2 - r)(1 - s)H_1 + b_2(-r - s) \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $s = r = 2$, which contradicts our assumption that $sr \neq 4$. This completes the proof. □

C_2 **Example 10.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac-Moody algebra of type B_2 with Cartan matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. For any $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2, S \subseteq \{1, 2\}$, the vector space $M(\mathbf{a}, S) = \mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2]$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}$-module under the following action for all $g \in \mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2]$:*

$$H_i \cdot g = H_i g, i = 1, 2,$$

$$e_1 \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_1\sigma_1(g), & 1 \in S, \\ -a_1(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{4})\sigma_1(g) & 1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

R4.1 (4.1)
$$f_1 \cdot g = \begin{cases} -a_1^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 + \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 + \frac{1}{4})\sigma_1^{-1}(g), & 1 \in S, \\ a_1^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}(g), & 1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$e_2 \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_2(-2H_1 + H_2 - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_2(g), & 1, 2 \in S, \\ a_2(H_2 - \frac{1}{2})(2H_1 - H_2 + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_2(g), & 1 \in S, 2 \notin S, \\ a_2(H_2 - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_2(g), & 1, 2 \notin S, \\ a_2\sigma_2(g), & 1 \notin S, 2 \in S; \end{cases}$$

$$f_2 \cdot g = \begin{cases} -a_2^{-1}(H_2 + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_2^{-1}(g), & 1, 2 \in S, \\ a_2^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}(g), & 1 \in S, 2 \notin S, \\ a_2^{-1}(2H_1 - H_2 - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_2^{-1}(g), & 1, 2 \notin S, \\ a_2^{-1}(H_2 + \frac{1}{2})(2H_1 - H_2 - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_2^{-1}(g), & 1 \notin S, 2 \in S. \end{cases}$$

This can be directly verified by using Serre's relations (Actually some will be verified in the proof of the next lemma). We omit the onerous computations.

Now, let us prove our main result for Kac-Moody algebras of rank 2.

RANK2 **Theorem 11.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac-Moody algebra associated to the Cartan matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & -r \\ -s & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $rs \leqslant 2$. Moreover,*

(1). If $r = s = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{M(\mathbf{a}, b, S) | \mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2, b \in \mathbb{C}, S \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}\},$$

where $M(\mathbf{a}, b, S)$ are as defined in Example 1.

(2). If $r = 1, s = 2$, then

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{M(\mathbf{a}, S) | \mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2, S \subseteq \{1, 2\}\},$$

where $M(\mathbf{a}, S)$ are as defined in Example 10.

Proof. From Example 1 and Theorem 3, we may assume that $rs \neq 4$ or 1. Then we may assume that $s \geqslant 2$. Suppose that E_1, F_1, E_2, F_2 determine a module M in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. By using Chevalley involution and Lemma 8, we can also assume that

$$E_1 = a_1, F_1 = -a_1^{-1}[(H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2)^2 + H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b],$$

with $b = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_j H_2^j \in \mathbb{C}[H_2]$ and $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Therefore, we have $\deg_2 F_1 \neq 0$, which implies that $\deg_1 E_2 \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\deg_1 E_2 = 0$, then $E_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and from

$$0 = [e_2, f_1] \cdot 1 = E_2(\sigma_2(F_1) - F_1),$$

we get $\deg_2 F_1 = 0$, a contradiction. Since

$$0 = [e_1, f_2] \cdot 1 = a_1(\sigma_1(F_2) - F_2),$$

we have $\deg_1 F_2 = 0$. So

$$(E_2, F_2) = (a_2(H_2 - sH_1 + c_1), a_2^{-1}(-H_2 + c_1)),$$

with $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, or

$$(E_2, F_2) = (a_2(-(H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1)^2 + H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c), a_2^{-1})$$

with $c \in \mathbb{C}[H_1]$.

Case i: $(E_2, F_2) = \big(a_2(H_2 - sH_1 + c_1), a_2^{-1}(-H_2 + c_1)\big), c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.
In this case, following from Lemma 9, we have $r = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& (\mathrm{ad} f_1)^2(f_2) \cdot 1 \\ =& F_2\big(F_1\sigma_1^{-1}(F_1) - 2F_1\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}(F_1) + \sigma_2^{-1}(F_1)\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}(F_1)\big) \\ =& a_1^{-2}F_2\big((b - \sigma_2^{-1}(b))^2 + \frac{1}{2}(b + \sigma_2^{-1}(b)) - \frac{3}{16}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(b-\sigma_2^{-1}(b))^2+\frac{1}{2}(b+\sigma_2^{-1}(b))-\frac{3}{16}=0. \tag{4.2}$$

If $k>2$, then the leading term of (4.2) is $\lambda_k^2k^2H_2^{2k-2}$, which is not 0. If $k=1$, the leading term of (4.2) is λ_1H_2, which is absurd. So, $k=0$ or 2.

Suppose $k\leqslant 2$ and $k\neq 1$. Then $F_1=-a_1^{-1}\big((H_1-\frac{1}{2}H_2)^2+H_1-\frac{1}{2}H_2+\lambda_2H_2^2+\lambda_1H_2+\lambda_0\big)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
0=&[e_2,f_1]\cdot 1\\
=&E_2(\sigma_2(F_1)-F_1)+F_1(E_2-\sigma_1^{-1}(E_2))\\
=&-a_2a_1^{-1}\big[(H_2-sH_1+c_1)(H_1-(\frac{1}{2}+2\lambda_2)H_2+\frac{3}{4}+\lambda_2-\lambda_1)\\
&+s\big((H_1-\frac{1}{2}H_2)^2+H_1-\frac{1}{2}H_2+\lambda_2H_2^2+\lambda_1H_2+\lambda_0\big)\big]\\
=&-a_2a_1^{-1}(\lambda_2+\frac{1}{4})(s-2)H_2^2-\frac{1}{2}a_2a_1^{-1}(2+4s\lambda_2-s)H_1H_2\\
&+(\lambda_1s+c_1-\lambda_2s+\frac{s}{4})H_1+(\lambda_1s-2\lambda_2c_1+\lambda_2-\frac{c_1}{2}+\frac{3}{4}-\lambda_1-\frac{s}{2})H_2\\
&+c_1(\lambda_2-\lambda_1+\frac{3}{4})+s\lambda_0.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\lambda_2+\frac{1}{4})(s-2)=0,\\
&2+4s\lambda_2-s=0,\\
&\lambda_1s+c_1-\lambda_2s+\frac{s}{4}=0,\\
&\lambda_1s-2\lambda_2c_1+\lambda_2-\frac{c_1}{2}+\frac{3}{4}-\lambda_1-\frac{s}{2}=0,\\
&c_1(\lambda_2-\lambda_1+\frac{3}{4})+s\lambda_0=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the first two equations and $s\geqslant 2$, we have $s=2$ and $\lambda_2=\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2s}=0$. Therefore, $\lambda_1=0$ and

$$s=2,c_1=-\frac{1}{2},b=\frac{3}{16},$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1&=-a_1^{-1}(H_1-\frac{1}{2}H_2+\frac{3}{4})(H_1-\frac{1}{2}H_2+\frac{1}{4}),\\
E_2&=a_2(H_2-2H_1-\frac{1}{2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $r=1,s=2$ and $M\cong M((a_1,a_2),\{1,2\})$.

Case ii: $(E_2,F_2)=(a_2(-(H_2-\frac{s}{2}H_1)^2+H_2-\frac{s}{2}H_1+c),a_2^{-1})$ with $c=\sum\limits_{j=0}^{k'}\mu_jH_1^j\in\mathbb{C}[H_1]$.

From $[e_2, f_1] = 0$ we see that

(4.3)

$$\Big(r(H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2) + \frac{r^2}{4} + \frac{r}{2} + \sigma_2 b - b\Big)\Big(-(H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1)^2 + H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1 + c\Big)$$
$$= \Big((H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2)^2 + H_1 - \frac{r}{2}H_2 + b\Big)\Big(s(H_2 - \frac{s}{2}H_1) - \frac{s^2}{4} - \frac{s}{2} + \sigma_1^{-1}c - c\Big).$$

If $k > 2$, from (4.3) we deduce that

$$(s-k)H_2^{k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} d_i H_2^i = 0,$$

where $d_i \in \mathbb{C}[H_1]$. So, $k = s$. From (4.3) again we see that

$$\big(\lambda_s(-\frac{s^2}{2}H_1 - \frac{s^2}{4} + c - \sigma_1^{-1}(c)) - \lambda_{s-1} - \delta_{s,3}(s-2)\frac{r^2}{4}\big)H_2^s$$
$$+ (\text{lower terms in } H_2) = 0.$$

So,

$$c - \sigma_1^{-1}(c) = \frac{s^2}{2}H_1 + \frac{s^2}{4} + \lambda_s^{-1}(\lambda_{s-1} + \delta_{s,3}(s-2)\frac{r^2}{4}),$$

which implies that

$$c = -\frac{s^2}{4}H_1^2 - (\lambda_s^{-1}(\lambda_{s-1} + \delta_{s,3}(s-2)\frac{r^2}{4})H_1 + \mu_0, \mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In this case, expressing (4.3) as a polynomial in H_1 we obtain that

$$s^2(2-r)H_1^3 + (\text{lower terms in } H_1) = 0.$$

Therefore, $r = 2$. Comparing the coefficients of H_1^2 in (4.3) we see that

$$\frac{s^2}{2}(H_2 - 2 + b - \sigma_2(b)) + 2(sH_2 - s/2 - \lambda_s^{-1}(\lambda_{s-1} + \delta_{s,3}))$$
$$= sH_2 - \frac{s^2}{2} - s/2 - \lambda_s^{-1}(\lambda_{s-1} + \delta_{s,3}) + (2H_2 - 1)s^2,$$

yielding that $k = s = 2$, contradicting the assumption that $rs \neq 4$. So we have $k \leq 2$. (Note that we have not used the assumption $s \geq 2$ in proving $k \leq 2$).

Using the notation change: $e_1 \leftrightarrow f_2, e_2 \leftrightarrow f_1, h_1 \leftrightarrow -h_2, r \leftrightarrow s$ we also have $k' \leq 2$.

Now the coefficients of H_1^3 and H_2^3 in (4.3) are

$$\frac{1}{4}(4\mu_2 - s^2)(r-2), -\frac{1}{4}(s-2)(4\lambda_2 + r^2),$$

which should be 0. Since both r and s cannot be 2, we see that $r \neq 2$ or $s \neq 2$.

If $s \neq 2$, we have $\lambda_2 = -\frac{r^2}{4}$. Now the coefficient of $H_2^2 H_1$ in (4.3) is $r(s-1)$, yielding that $s = 1$, a contradiction. Hence $r \neq 2$, and further $\mu_2 = \frac{s^2}{4}$. Now the coefficient of $H_2 H_1^2$ in (4.3) is $s(r-1)$, yielding that $r = 1$. If $\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{4}$, the coefficients of $H_2^2 H_1$ in (4.3) is $s - 1$, yielding that $s = 1$, contradicting to the assumption that $rs \neq 1$. Thus $\lambda_2 \neq -\frac{1}{4}$. So $s = 2$. We summarize what I got: $r = 1, s = 2, \mu_2 = 1$.

Computing the coefficients of $H_2^2H_1$ and H_1H_2 in (4.3) we know that $\lambda_2 = 0$ and $\mu_1 = 4\lambda_1$. Now (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&(1/4 - 4\lambda_1^2 + \mu_0)H_1 - 2\lambda_1 H_2^2 + (2\lambda_1 - (1/2)\mu_0 - 2\lambda_0\\ &+ 1/4 - 4\lambda_1^2)H_2 + \lambda_0 + (3/4)\mu_0 - \lambda_1\mu_0 - 4\lambda_0\lambda_1 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

yielding $\mu_0 = -1/4, \lambda_0 = 3/16$. Therefore

$$r = 1, s = 2, \mu_2 = 1, \mu_0 = -1/4, \lambda_0 = 3/16, \mu_1 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}&b = \frac{3}{16},\ \ c = H_1^2 - \frac{1}{4},\\ &F_1 = -a_1^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 + \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 + \frac{1}{4}),\\ &E_2 = a_2(H_2 - \frac{1}{2})(2H_1 - H_2 + \frac{1}{2}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $M \cong M(\{a_1, a_2\}, \{1\})$. □

5. Modules for Kac-Moody algebras of finite type

In this section, we will recover Nilsson's results in [N1] with a totally different approach, i.e., determine $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for finite Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$.

Since A is of finite type , A is invertible. We may take a basis for $\mathfrak{h}$ to be

$$(H_1, \cdots, H_l)^T = A^{-1}(\alpha_1^\vee, \cdots, \alpha_l^\vee)^T.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[H_i, e_j] = \delta_{ij}e_j, [H_i, f_j] = -\delta_{ij}f_j,\ \ i, j = 1, \cdots, l;\\ &([e_1, f_1], [e_2, f_2], \cdots, [e_l, f_l])^T = (\alpha_1^\vee, \cdots, \alpha_l^\vee)^T = A(H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_l)^T,\\ &[e_i, f_j] = 0, i \neq j,\ \ i, j = 1, \cdots, l.\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that generalized Cartan matrices of finite type are given as follows ([Ka], [H]):

$$A_l, B_l\ (l \geqslant 2), C_l\ (l \geqslant 2), D_l\ (l \geqslant 4), E_l\ (l = 6, 7, 8), F_4, G_2.$$

The following result is clear.

Lemma 12. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of finite type X_l and $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. For any $g \in M = \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_l]$ and $i \in \mathfrak{l}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}H_i \cdot g &= H_i g,\\ e_i \cdot g &= E_i\sigma_i(g),\\ f_i \cdot g &= F_i\sigma_i^{-1}(g),\end{aligned}$$

where $E_i = e_i \cdot 1, F_i = f_i \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_l] \setminus \{0\}$.

Thus, to understand $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$, we only need to determine all possible $2l$-tuples $(E_1, \cdots, E_l, F_1, \cdots, F_l)$ of $\mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_l]$.

Similar as Lemma 8, we can prove

AXLEM **Lemma 13.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra and Z be an abelian Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$.*

(1). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type A_l with $l \geqslant 2$. For any $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_l) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^l, b \in U(Z)$ and $S \subseteq \mathfrak{l} \cup \{l+1\}$, the space $M(\mathbf{a}, b, S) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ becomes a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with the following action for all $\tilde{g} \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$:

$$H_i \cdot \tilde{g} = H_i\tilde{g}, z \cdot \tilde{g} = z\tilde{g}, i \in \mathfrak{l}, \forall z \in Z;$$

$$e_i \cdot \tilde{g} = \begin{cases} a_i(H_{i+1} - H_i - b)\sigma_i(\tilde{g}), & i, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i\sigma_i(\tilde{g}), & i \in S, i+1 \notin S, \\ a_i(H_i - H_{i-1} - b - 1)(H_{i+1} - H_i - b)\sigma_i(\tilde{g}), & i \notin S, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i(H_i - H_{i-1} - b - 1)\sigma_i(\tilde{g}), & i, i+1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$f_i \cdot \tilde{g} = \begin{cases} a_i^{-1}(H_i - H_{i-1} - b)\sigma_i^{-1}(\tilde{g}), & i, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i^{-1}(H_i - H_{i-1} - b)(H_{i+1} - H_i - b - 1)\sigma_i^{-1}(\tilde{g}), & i \in S, i+1 \notin S, \\ a_i^{-1}\sigma_i^{-1}(\tilde{g}), & i \notin S, i+1 \in S, \\ a_i^{-1}(H_{i+1} - H_i - b - 1)\sigma_i^{-1}(\tilde{g}), & i, i+1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

where $H_{l+1} = H_0 := 0$. And we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \{M(\mathbf{a}, b, S) | \mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^l, b \in U(Z), S \subseteq \mathfrak{l} \cup \{l+1\}\}.$$

(2). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type B_2. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ and let $E_{i,S} = e_i \cdot 1, F_{i,S} = f_i \cdot 1$ $(i = 1, 2, S \subseteq \{1, 2\})$ be as in equation (4.1). The space $M(\mathbf{a}, S) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ becomes a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with the following action for all $\mathfrak{g} \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}), z \in Z$ and $i = 1, 2$:

R5.1

$$\begin{aligned} H_i \cdot g &= H_i g, \\ z \cdot g &= zg, \\ e_i \cdot g &= E_{i,S}\sigma_i(g), \\ f_i \cdot g &= F_{i,S}\sigma_i^{-1}(g). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

And we have $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \{M(\mathbf{a}, S) | \mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2, S \subseteq \{1, 2\}\}$.

(3). $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \varnothing$.

Using Lemma 13, we can obtain the following

Proposition 14. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_4, then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Suppose the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ is as follow



To the contrary, assume that $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$ and take $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. For $k = 1, 3, 4$, let $\mathfrak{g}_k$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{e_i, f_i, \mathfrak{h} | i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, i \neq k\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_k \cong \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}H_k,$$

where $[\mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C}), H_k] = 0$. Let $M_k = \mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_k} M$ for $k = 1, 3, 4$. Take $\mathfrak{h}_k$ to be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by $\{\alpha_i^\vee | i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{k\}\}$.

Let $\bar{H}_i \in \mathfrak{h}_4$ with the property

$$[\bar{H}_i, e_j] = \delta_{ij}e_j, [\bar{H}_i, f_j] = -\delta_{ij}f_j, i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{H}_1 - \bar{H}_2 &= \alpha_1^\vee = 2H_1 - H_2, \\ -\bar{H}_1 + 2\bar{H}_2 - \bar{H}_3 &= \alpha_2^\vee = -H_1 + 2H_2 - H_3 - H_4, \\ -\bar{H}_2 + 2\bar{H}_3 &= \alpha_3^\vee = -H_2 + 2H_3, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\bar{H}_3 = H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_4, \bar{H}_i = H_i - \frac{i}{2}H_4, i = 1, 2.$$

Considering M_4, by Lemma 17, we have (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (a_1(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b), a_1^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b)), \\ (a_1, a_1^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b)(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b - 1)), \\ (a_1(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b - 1)(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b), a_1^{-1}), \\ (a_1(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b - 1), a_1^{-1}(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b - 1)); \end{cases}$$

and (E_3, F_3) is one of the following respectively

$$\begin{cases} (-a_3(H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 + b), a_3^{-1}(H_3 - H_2 + \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b)), \\ (-a_3(H_3 - H_2 + \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b - 1)(H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 + b), a_3^{-1}), \\ (a_3, -a_3^{-1}(H_3 - H_2 + \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b)(H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 + b + 1)), \\ (a_3(H_3 - H_2 + \frac{1}{2}H_4 - b - 1), -a_3^{-1}(H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_4 + b + 1)); \end{cases}$$

where $a_1, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}[H_4]$. Therefore, we have

$$\deg_3 E_1 = \deg_3 F_1 = \deg_1 E_3 = \deg_1 F_3 = 0.$$

Similarly, considering M_1, we have

$$\deg_4 E_3 = \deg_4 F_3 = 0.$$

This is impossible. Therefore, M does not exist, namely, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$. □

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Kac-Moody algebra whose Dynkin diagram Γ contains a subdiagram of type D_4, that is Γ is of the form:



By a subdiagram of Γ we mean a subset of vertices of Γ and all edges between them. Then $\{e_i, f_i, \mathfrak{h} | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ generates a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{o}_8(\mathbb{C}) \oplus Z$ for some abelian Lie algebra Z. Therefore, for any $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$\mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_1} M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \varnothing.$$

Thus, we have

D_4 **Lemma 15.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra with Dynkin diagram Γ. If Γ contains a subdiagram of type D_4, then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.*

Following from this lemma, we can easily get

DE **Corollary 16.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite Kac-Moody algebra of type $D_l (l \geqslant 4)$ or $E_l (l = 6, 7, 8)$. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.*

Next, we consider Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ whose Dynkin diagram contains a subdiagram of type B_3.

B,F **Lemma 17.** *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Kac-Moody algebra of type $B_l (l \geqslant 3)$ or F_4, then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Since the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a subdiagram of type B_3, using the same argument as Lemma 15, we only need to prove the statement for $\mathfrak{g}$ of type B_3.

Let the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ be as follows.



Suppose $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$ and take $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. For $k = 1, 3$ let $\mathfrak{g}_k$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{e_i, f_i, \mathfrak{h} | i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{k\}\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \cong \mathfrak{o}_5(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}H_1, [\mathfrak{o}_5(\mathbb{C}), H_1] = 0;$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}_3 \cong \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}H_3, [\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C}), H_3] = 0.$$

Let $M_k = \mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_k} M$ and $\mathfrak{h}_k$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by $\{\alpha_i^\vee | i \neq k\}$. For $i = 1, 2$ take $\bar{H}_i \in \mathfrak{h}_3$ such that

$$[\bar{H}_i, e_j] = \delta_{ij} e_j, [\bar{H}_i, f_j] = -\delta_{ij} f_j, \ \ i, j = 1, 2.$$

From

$$2\bar{H}_1 - \bar{H}_2 = \alpha_1^\vee = 2H_1 - H_2,$$
$$-\bar{H}_1 + 2\bar{H}_2 = \alpha_2^\vee = -H_1 + 2H_2 - H_3,$$

we see that

$$\bar{H}_i = H_i - \frac{i}{3} H_3, i = 1, 2.$$

Considering M_3, from Lemma 13, we see that (E_2, F_2) is one of the following:

R5.2 (5.2)
$$\begin{cases} (a(H_2 - \frac{2}{3}H_3 + b), \ \ -a^{-1}(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_3 - b)), \\ (a, \ \ -a^{-1}(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_3 - b)(H_2 - \frac{2}{3}H_3 + b + 1)), \\ (-a(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_3 - b - 1)(H_2 - \frac{2}{3}H_3 + b), \ \ a^{-1}), \\ (a(H_2 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_3 - b - 1), \ \ -a^{-1}(H_2 - \frac{2}{3}H_3 + b + 1)), \end{cases}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in \mathbb{C}[H_3]$.

Similarly, considering M_1, we know that (E_2, F_2) is one of the following

R5.3 (5.3)
$$\begin{cases} (a, \ -a^{-1}(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 - \frac{3}{4})(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 - \frac{1}{4})), \\ (-a(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 + \frac{3}{4})(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 + \frac{1}{4}), \ a^{-1}), \end{cases}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Compare (5.2) with (5.3), we have

$$(\deg_1 E_2, \deg_1 F_2) = (0, 1) = (0, 2),$$

or

$$(\deg_1 E_2, \deg_1 F_2) = (1, 0) = (2, 0).$$

This is absurd. Hence, M does not exist, that is $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$. □

Thus, for Kac-Moody algebras of finite type, we only have type C_l $(l > 2)$ left. The complete list of modules in $\mathcal{H}(C_l)$ is as follows, which were not explicitly given by Nilsson in [N1]

C **Proposition 18.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra of type C_l ($l \geqslant 2$). For any $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_l) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^l, S \subseteq \mathfrak{l}$, the space $M(\mathbf{a}, S) = \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_l]$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}$-module under the following action for all $g \in \mathbb{C}[H_1, \cdots, H_l], 1 \leqslant k \leqslant l-2$:*

$$H_i \cdot g = H_i g, i \in \mathfrak{l};$$

$$e_k \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_k(H_{k+1} - H_k + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_k(g), & k, k+1 \in S, \\ a_k\sigma_k(g), & k \in S, k+1 \notin S, \\ a_k(H_k - H_{k-1} - \frac{1}{2})(H_{k+1} - H_k + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_k(g), & k \notin S, k+1 \in S, \\ a_k(H_k - H_{k-1} - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_k(g), & k, k+1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$f_k \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_k^{-1}(H_k - H_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_k^{-1}(g), & k, k+1 \in S, \\ a_k^{-1}(H_k - H_{k-1} + \frac{1}{2})(H_{k+1} - H_k - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_k^{-1}(g), & k \in S, k+1 \notin S, \\ a_k^{-1}\sigma_k^{-1}(g), & k \notin S, k+1 \in S, \\ a_k^{-1}(H_{k+1} - H_k - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_k^{-1}(g), & k, k+1 \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$e_{l-1} \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_{l-1}(2H_l - H_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_{l-1}(g), & l-1, l \in S, \\ a_{l-1}\sigma_{l-1}(g), & l-1 \in S, l \notin S, \\ a_{l-1}(H_{l-1} - H_{l-2} - \frac{1}{2})(2H_l - H_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_{l-1}(g), & l-1 \notin S, l \in S, \\ a_{l-1}(H_{l-1} - H_{l-2} - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_{l-1}(g), & l-1, l \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$f_{l-1} \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_{l-1}^{-1}(H_{l-1} - H_{l-2} + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_{l-1}^{-1}(g), & l-1, l \in S, \\ a_{l-1}^{-1}(H_{l-1} - H_{l-2} + \frac{1}{2})(2H_l - H_{l-1} - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_{l-1}^{-1}(g), & l-1 \in S, l \notin S, \\ a_{l-1}^{-1}\sigma_{l-1}^{-1}(g), & l-1 \notin S, l \in S, \\ a_{l-1}^{-1}(2H_l - H_{l-1} - \frac{1}{2})\sigma_{l-1}^{-1}(g), & l-1, l \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$e_l \cdot g = \begin{cases} a_l\sigma_l(g), & l \in S, \\ -a_l(H_l - \frac{1}{2}H_{l-1} - \frac{3}{4})(H_l - \frac{1}{2}H_{l-1} - \frac{1}{4})\sigma_l(g), & l \notin S; \end{cases}$$

$$f_l \cdot g = \begin{cases} -a_l^{-1}(H_l - \frac{1}{2}H_{l-1} + \frac{3}{4})(H_l - \frac{1}{2}H_{l-1} + \frac{1}{4})\sigma_l^{-1}(g), & l \in S, \\ a_l^{-1}\sigma_l^{-1}(g), & l \notin S. \end{cases}$$

where $H_0 := 0$. And we have $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{M(\mathbf{a}, S) | \mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^l, S \subseteq \mathfrak{l}\}$. Moreover, the module $M(\mathbf{a}, S)$ is simple for any $\mathbf{a}$ and S.

Proof. Suppose the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ is as follows.



The statement for $l = 2$ is just Theorem 11(2). Now we assume that $l \geqslant 3$ and $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{e_i, f_i, \mathfrak{h} | i = 1, \cdots, l-1\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{e_{l-1}, e_l, f_{l-1}, f_l, \mathfrak{h}\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \cong \mathfrak{sl}_l(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}H_l, [\mathfrak{sl}_l(\mathbb{C}), H_l] = 0;$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 \cong \mathfrak{o}_5(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}H_1 \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}H_{l-2}, [\mathfrak{o}_5(\mathbb{C}), H_i] = 0, i = 1, \cdots, l-2.$$

Let $M_i = \mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_i} M, i = 1, 2$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by $\{\alpha_i^\vee | i = 1, \cdots, l-1\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by $\{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee, \alpha_l^\vee\}$.

Take $\bar{H}_i$ in $\mathfrak{h}_1$ such that

$$[\bar{H}_i, e_j] = \delta_{ij} e_j, [\bar{H}_i, f_j] = -\delta_{ij} f_j, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant l-1.$$

Then

$$\bar{H}_i = H_i - \frac{2i}{l} H_l.$$

Following from Lemma 13(1), on M_1, we have

$$(E_i,F_i)=\begin{cases}(a_i(H_{i+1}-H_i-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b),a_i^{-1}(H_i-H_{i-1}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b)), & i,i+1\in S,\\ (a_i,a_i^{-1}(H_i-H_{i-1}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b)(H_{i+1}-H_i-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b-1)), & i\in S,i+1\notin S,\\ (a_i(H_i-H_{i-1}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b-1)(H_{i+1}-H_i-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b),a_i^{-1}), & i\notin S,i+1\in S,\\ (a_i(H_i-H_{i-1}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b-1),a_i^{-1}(H_{i+1}-H_i-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b), & i,i+1\notin S,\end{cases}$$

for $1\leqslant i\leqslant l-2$, and

R5.4 (5.4)

$$(E_{l-1},F_{l-1})=$$
$$\begin{cases}(a_{l-1}(\frac{2(l-1)}{l}H_l-H_{l-1}-b),a_{l-1}^{-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b)), & l-1,l\in S,\\ (a_{l-1},a_{l-1}^{-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b)(\frac{2(l-1)}{l}H_l-H_{l-1}-b-1)), & l-1\in S,l\notin S,\\ (a_{l-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b-1)(\frac{2(l-1)}{l}H_l-H_{l-1}-b),a_{l-1}^{-1}), & l-1\notin S,l\in S,\\ (a_{l-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}-\frac{2}{l}H_l-b-1),a_{l-1}^{-1}(\frac{2(l-1)}{l}H_l-H_{l-1}-b-1)), & l-1,l\notin S.\end{cases}$$

Similarly, on M_2, by Lemma 13(2), we have

R5.5 (5.5)

$$(E_{l-1},F_{l-1})=\begin{cases}(a_{l-1}(2H_l-H_{l-1}+\frac{1}{2}),a_{l-1}^{-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}+\frac{1}{2})), & l-1,l\in S,\\ (a_{l-1},a_{l-1}^{-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}+\frac{1}{2})(2H_l-H_{l-1}-\frac{1}{2})), & l-1\in S,l\notin S,\\ (a_{l-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}-\frac{1}{2})(2H_l-H_{l-1}+\frac{1}{2}),a_{l-1}^{-1}), & l-1\notin S,l\in S,\\ (a_{l-1}(H_{l-1}-H_{l-2}-\frac{1}{2}),a_{l-1}^{-1}(2H_l-H_{l-1}-\frac{1}{2})), & l-1,l\notin S;\end{cases}$$

$$(E_l,F_l)=\begin{cases}(a_l,-a_l^{-1}(H_l-\frac{1}{2}H_{l-1}+\frac{3}{4})(H_l-\frac{1}{2}H_{l-1}+\frac{1}{4})), & l\in S,\\ (-a_l(H_l-\frac{1}{2}H_{l-1}-\frac{3}{4})(H_l-\frac{1}{2}H_{l-1}-\frac{1}{4}),a_l^{-1}), & l\notin S.\end{cases}$$

Compare (5.4) with (5.5), we get

$$b=-\frac{2}{l}H_l-\frac{1}{2},$$

and hence $M\cong M(\mathbf{a},S)$ for some $\mathbf{a}\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^l$ and $S\subseteq\mathfrak{l}$.

For irreducibility, we only show that $M(\mathbf{1},\mathfrak{l})$ is simple for the others can be proved in similar ways.

Define the following operators on $M(\mathbf{1},\mathfrak{l})$:

$$\Delta_i:=e_i-1,i\in\mathfrak{l}.$$

Let $W\subseteq M(\mathbf{1},\mathfrak{l})$ be a nonzero submodule and take $0\neq g\in W$. Applying Δ_l finite times, we have

$$g_1\in W\cap(\mathcal{P}_l\setminus\{0\}).$$

Then applying $\Delta_l\circ e_{l-1}$, we get

$$\sigma_{l-1}(g_1)\in W.$$

Hence

$$\sigma_{l-1}(g_1)-g_1\in W.$$

Thus, we have

$$0\neq g_2\in W\cap\mathcal{P}_l\cap\mathcal{P}_{l-1}.$$

Continue this process by using $\Delta_i\circ e_{i-1}$ in turn, we know that there exists $g'\in\mathbb{C}^*\cap W$. Therefore, $1\in W$ and hence $W=M(\mathbf{1},\mathfrak{l})$. □

Following from Example 1, Corollary 16, Lemma 17 and Proposition 18, we have

FINITE **Theorem 19.** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra of finite type. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})\neq\varnothing$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_l ($l\geqslant 1$) or C_l ($l\geqslant 2$).*

6. Modules for general Kac-Moody algebras

In this section, we determine the category $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ for general Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$. Indeed, we will prove

Theorem 20. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an arbitrary Kac-Moody algebra. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type A_l $(l \geqslant 1)$ or C_l $(l \geqslant 2)$.*

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra associtaed to Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with Dynkin diagram Γ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$. Then using Theorem 3, Theorem 11, Lemma 13 and Theorem 19, Γ has the following properties:

- Γ cannot contain a triple line, a quadruple line, a bold line or a double arrow line, i.e., $a_{ij}a_{ji} \leq 2$. See Theorem 11.
- Γ cannot contain a subdiagram of type $D_4, B_3^{(1)}, A_3^{(2)}, C_2^{(1)}, A_4^{(2)}$ and $D_3^{(2)}$. See Theorem 3.
- If Γ is simple-laced, then Γ has to be of type A_l: this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{H} = \varnothing$ for Kac-Moody algebras of type $A_l^{(1)}$.
- If Γ has more than 3 points without a 3-point circle and contains a double line, then Γ has to be of type $C_l (l \geqslant 4)$: otherwise, Γ will contain a subdiagram of type B_3 or affine type which has $\mathcal{H} = \varnothing$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 20, we only need to prove the following lemma which consists of all possible 3-point circle Dynkin diagrams with at least one double line.

Lemma 21. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra with Dynkin diagram Γ. If Γ is one of the following,*



then $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.

Proof. The Cartan matrix A for $\mathfrak{g}$ is one of the following, respectively,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, A is invertible. Let $\{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ be the dual basis for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$. For $k = 1, 2, 3$, let $\mathfrak{g}_k$ be the subalgebra generated by $\{e_i, f_i, \mathfrak{h} | i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{k\}\}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \varnothing$ and let $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g})$. Define $M_k = \mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_k} M$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$, and $E_i = e_i \cdot 1, F_i = f_i \cdot 1$. We will cary out the proof case by case.

Case 1: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

In M_3, from Lemma 8, we know that (E_2, F_2) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (a(H_2 - H_3 + b), a^{-1}(H_1 - H_2 + b)), \\ (a, -a^{-1}(H_2 - H_1 - b)(H_2 - H_3 + b + 1)), \\ (-a(H_2 - H_1 - b - 1)(H_2 - H_3 + b), a^{-1}), \\ (a(H_2 - H_1 - b - 1), a^{-1}(H_3 - H_2 - b - 1)), \end{cases}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in \mathbb{C}[H_3]$. Therefore,

$$(\deg_1 E_2, \deg_1 F_2) = (0,1) \text{ or } (1,0).$$

However, in M_1, following from Lemma 13, there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_2, F_2) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (c, -c^{-1}(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{3}{4})(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{1}{4})), \\ (-c(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 + \frac{3}{4})(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 + \frac{1}{4}), c^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

So,

$$(\deg_1 E_2, \deg_1 F_2) = (0,2) \text{ or } (2,0).$$

This leads to a contradiction. Hence, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.

Case 2: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

In M_3, there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (a, -a^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{1}{4})), \\ (-a(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 + \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 + \frac{1}{4}), a^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0,2) \text{ or } (2,0).$$

In M_2, there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in \mathbb{C}[H_2]$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (c(H_3 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_2 - b), c^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{4}{3}H_2 - b)), \\ (c, c^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{4}{3}H_2 - b)(H_3 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_2 - b - 1)), \\ (c(H_1 - \frac{4}{3}H_2 - b - 1)(H_3 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_2 - b), c^{-1}), \\ (c(H_1 - \frac{4}{3}H_2 - b - 1), c^{-1}(H_3 - H_1 - \frac{1}{3}H_2 - b - 1)). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0,1) \text{ or } (1,0).$$

This is a contradiction. So, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.

Case 3: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

In M_3, there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (a, -a^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - \frac{1}{4})), \\ (-a(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 + \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 + \frac{1}{4}), a^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0,2) \text{ or } (2,0).$$

In M_2, there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in \mathbb{C}[H_2]$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (c(H_3 - H_1 - b), c^{-1}(H_1 - H_2 - b)), \\ (c, c^{-1}(H_1 - H_2 - b)(H_3 - H_1 - b - 1)), \\ (c(H_1 - H_2 - b - 1)(H_3 - H_1H_2 - b), c^{-1}), \\ (c(H_1 - H_2 - b - 1), c^{-1}(H_3 - H_1 - b - 1)). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0, 1) \text{ or } (1, 0).$$

This is a contradiction. So, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.

Case 4: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

In M_3, there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (a(2H_2 - H_1 - H_3 + \frac{1}{2}), a^{-1}(H_1 - 2H_3 + \frac{1}{2})), \\ (a, -a^{-1}(H_1 - 2H_3 + \frac{1}{2})(2H_2 - H_1 - H_3 - \frac{1}{2})), \\ (a(H_1 - 2H_3 - \frac{1}{2})(2H_2 - H_1 - H_3 + \frac{1}{2}), a^{-1}), \\ (a(H_1 - 2H_3 - \frac{1}{2}), a^{-1}(2H_2 - H_1 - H_3 - \frac{1}{2})). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0, 2), (2, 0) \text{ or } (1, 1).$$

In M_2, there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*, b \in \mathbb{C}[H_2]$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (c(H_3 - H_1 - b), c^{-1}(H_1 - 2H_2 - b)), \\ (c, c^{-1}(H_1 - 2H_2 - b)(H_3 - H_1 - b - 1)), \\ (c(H_1 - 2H_2 - b - 1)(H_3 - H_1 - b), c^{-1}), \\ (c(H_1 - 2H_2 - b - 1), c^{-1}(H_3 - H_1 - b - 1)). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0, 1) \text{ or } (1, 0).$$

This is a contradiction. So, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.

Case 5: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

In M_3, there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (a, -a^{-1}(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - H_3 + \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - H_3 + \frac{1}{4})), \\ (-a(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - H_3 - \frac{3}{4})(H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_2 - H_3 - \frac{1}{4}), a^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0, 2) \text{ or } (2, 0).$$

In M_2, there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_1, F_1) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (c(2H_3 - H_1 - 2H_2 + \frac{1}{2}), c^{-1}(H_1 - 3H_2 + \frac{1}{2})), \\ (c, c^{-1}(H_1 - 3H_2 + \frac{1}{2})(2H_3 - H_1 - 2H_2 - \frac{1}{2})), \\ (c(H_1 - 3H_2 - \frac{1}{2})(2H_3 - H_1 - 2H_2 + \frac{1}{2}), c^{-1}), \\ (c(H_1 - 3H_2 - \frac{1}{2}), c^{-1}(2H_3 - H_1 - 2H_2 - \frac{1}{2}))). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(\deg_3 E_1, \deg_3 F_1) = (0, 1) \text{ or } (1, 0).$$

This is a contradiction. So, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$.

Case 6: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

In M_1, there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_2, F_2) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (a, -a^{-1}(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - H_1 - \frac{3}{4})(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - H_1 - \frac{1}{4})), \\ (-a(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - H_1 + \frac{3}{4})(H_2 - \frac{1}{2}H_3 - H_1 + \frac{1}{4}), a^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(\deg_1 E_2, \deg_1 F_2) = (0, 2) \text{ or } (2, 0).$$

In M_3, there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (E_2, F_2) is one of the following

$$\begin{cases} (c(2H_1 - H_2 - H_3 + \frac{1}{2}), c^{-1}(H_2 - 2H_3 + \frac{1}{2})), \\ (c, c^{-1}(H_2 - 2H_3 + \frac{1}{2})(2H_1 - H_2 - H_3 - \frac{1}{2})), \\ (c(H_2 - 2H_3 - \frac{1}{2})(2H_1 - H_2 - H_3 + \frac{1}{2}), c^{-1}), \\ (c(H_2 - 2H_3 - \frac{1}{2}), c^{-1}(2H_1 - H_2 - H_3 - \frac{1}{2}))). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(\deg_1 E_2, \deg_1 F_2) = (0, 1) \text{ or } (1, 0).$$

This is a contradiction. So, $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varnothing$. □

Acknowledgments. The research presented in this paper was carried out during the visit of Y.C. and H.T. to Wilfrid Laurier University supported by University Research Professor grant in the winter of 2014/2015. K.Z. is partially supported by NSF of China (Grant 11271109, 11371134) and NSERC (Grant 311907-2015). Y.C. likes to thank the China Scholar Council for the financial support.

References

[ALZ] D. Adamovic, R. Lü, K. Zhao, Whittaker modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, Adv. in Math., 289(2016), 438-479.

[BBFK] V. Bekkert, G. Benkart, V. Futorny, I. Kashuba, New irreducible modules for Heisenberg and affine Lie algebras, J. Algebra, 373 (2013), 284-298.

[B] R. Block; The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and of the Weyl algebra, Adv. in Math. 139 (1981), no. 1, 69-110.

[CZ] Y. Cai, K. Zhao, Module structure on U(H) for basic Lie superalgebras, Toyama Mathematical Journal, Vol.37, 55-72, 2015.

[CG] H. Chen, X. Guo, Modules over the Heisenberg-Virasoro and $W(2,2)$, arXiv:1401.4670.

[CLNZ] Y. Cai, G. Liu, J. Nilsson, K. Zhao, Generalized Verma modules over $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+2}$ induced from $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$-free $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-modules, arXiv:1510.04129, submitted to J. Algebra, Nov.10, 2015.

[Chr] K. Christodoulopoulou, Whittaker modules for Heisenberg algebras and imaginary Whittaker modules for affine Lie algebras, J. Algebra, 320 (2008) 2871-2890.

[DG] I. Dimitrov, D. Grantcharov, Classification of simple weight modules over affine Lie algebras, arXiv:0910.0688.

[FGM] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, R. A. Martins, Localization of free field realizations of affine Lie algebras. Lett. Math. Phys., 105 (2015), no. 4, 483-502.

[FT] V. Futorny and A. Tsylke, Classification of irreducible nonzero level modules with finite–dimensional weight spaces for affine Lie algebras, J. Algebra 238 (2001) 426-441.

[GZ] X. Guo, K. Zhao, Irreducible representations of non-twisted affine Kac-Moody algebras, arXiv:1305.4059.

[H] J. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.

[Ka] V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[LZ] G. Liu, Y. Zhao, Irreducible $A_1^{(1)}$-modules from modules over two-dimensional non-abelian Lie algebra, Front. Math. China, 11 (2016), no. 2, 353-363.

[M] O. Mathieu, Classification of irreducible weight modules, Ann. Inst. Fourier 50(2000) 537-592.

[MZ] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Characterization of simple highest weight modules. Canad. Math. Bull. 56 (2013), no. 3, 606-614

[N] J. Nilsson, Simple $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h})$, J. Algebra 424 (2015), 294-329.

[N1] J. Nilsson, $U(\mathfrak{h})$-free modules and coherent families, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 (2016), no. 4, 1475-1488.

[R] S. Rao, Classification of loop modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces, Math. Ann. 305 (1996), no. 4, 651-663.

[R1] S. Rao, Classification of irreducible integrable modules for multi-loop algebras with finite-dimensional weight spaces, J. Algebra 246 (2001), no. 1, 215-225.

[TZ] H. Tan, K. Zhao, W_n^+- and W_n-module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$, J. Algebra 424 (2015), 357-375.

Y. Cai: Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, P.R. China, and Wu Wen Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Science, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, Anhui, P. R. China. Email: yatsai@mail.ustc.edu.cn

H. Tan: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, Jilin, 130042, P.R. China, and Department of Applied Mathematics, Changchun University of Science and Technology, Changchun, Jilin, 130022, P.R. China. Email: hjtan9999@yahoo.com

K. Zhao: College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal (Teachers) University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, 050016 P. R. China, and Department of Mathematics, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3C5. Email: kzhao@wlu.ca